# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT <br> SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA <br> (Miami Division) <br> Case No.: 10-22078-CV-MORENO/TORRES 

## SECURITIES \& EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,
vs.
ESTATE OF KENNETH WAYNE MCLEOD, F \& S ASSET MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. and FEDERAL EMPLOYEE BENEFITS GROUP, INC.,

Defendants.

## Notice to Creditors

This motion objects to 5 claims: Capital Analysts Inc., Advance Funeral Funding LLC, Michael Ian Polsky, Nicklous T. Cooper and Ronald \& Nanette VanGundy. If you are not one of these parties, the Receiver is not presently objecting to your claim. However, if you have received a distribution prior to the Receivership Case or a settlement payment from a third party, by this motion, the Receiver seeks to reduce the amount of your claim.

## RECEIVER'S MOTION (I) TO SET AMOUNT OF DISPUTED CLAIMS AND (II) ESTABLISH PROCEDURE TO CALCULATE CLAIMS DISTRIBUTION

Michael I. Goldberg (the "Receiver"), in his capacity as receiver of Defendants, F\&S Asset Management, Inc. and Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc. (collectively, the "Receivership Entities"), through undersigned counsel, hereby files this Motion (I) To Set Amount of Disputed Claims and (II) Establish Procedure to Calculate Claims Distribution (the "Motion"). In support of this Motion, the Receiver states as follows:

## I. Background

On June 24, 2010, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") initiated these proceedings (the "Receivership Case") in the United States District Court for the

Southern District of Florida (the "Receivership Court"). In its complaint, the SEC alleged that the Receivership Entities, along with Kenneth Wayne McLeod ("McLeod"), perpetrated a Ponzi scheme by defrauding nearly three hundred (300) investors (the "Investors") out of more than $\$ 34$ million. The SEC also sought the appointment of a receiver over the Receivership Entities. The Receivership Court appointed Michael I. Goldberg as receiver for the Receivership Entities.

Upon his appointment, the Receiver undertook an investigation to locate and secure assets owned by the Receivership Entities or purchased with funds illegally diverted from the Receivership Entities. The Receiver located and liquidated certain assets in which the Receivership Entities and/or McLeod had an interest. The Receiver is currently holding the sum of $\$ 1,478,622.18$ for distribution to creditors with allowed claims and payment of administrative expenses.

## II. Claims Process

By previous Order, the Receivership Court authorized the Receiver to open a probate estate for McLeod in the Circuit Court for St. Johns County, Florida (the "Probate Case")(DE No. 35). The Receiver is currently serving as the Curator of McLeod's estate. A claims bar date was set in the Probate Case, as required under Florida statute. The Receiver provided notice of the Probate Case and the claims bar date to all known potential creditors of McLeod and the Receivership Entities, including the Investors. A total of 133 claims were filed in the Probate Case.

The Receiver also initiated a claims process in the Receivership Case. On July 25, 2011, the Receiver filed a Motion for Order (1) Setting Claims Bar Date; (II) Authorizing Receiver to

Treat Investor Claims Filed in Probate Action as Claims "Filed" in the Receivership Proceeding; and (III) Approving a Claims Administration Procedure (the "Claims Motion") (D.E. 68). The Claims Motion was approved by Order of this Court (the "Claims Order") on August 22, 2011 (D.E. 70). Pursuant to the Claims Order, the Court scheduled October 31, 2011 as the claims bar date.

The Court authorized the Receiver to accept certain claims filed in the Probate Case as being deemed "filed" in the Receivership Case", with the caveat that the claims filed in the Probate Case were not automatically deemed "allowed" claims. As such, the Receiver has the opportunity to review and object to any claims he believes are incorrect or unsubstantiated against the Receivership Entities. In addition to the probate claims deemed filed in the Receivership Case, six additional claims were filed in the Receivership Case, for a total of one hundred and thirty-nine (139) claims (the "Claims") (those individuals and entities that filed claims shall collectively be referred to herein as the "Claimants"). Three of those Claims have been withdrawn, and one of those Claims was satisfied. ${ }^{2}$ Additionally, the Receiver is disputing five (5) Claims (the "Disputed Claims"), as more fully described below. ${ }^{3}$ The remaining one hundred twenty-nine (129) Claims are not being challenged by the Receiver.

[^0]The Claimants filed Claims in the total sum of $\$ 48.7$ million. ${ }^{4}$ The Receiver has reviewed all of the Claims and compared them to the Receivership Entities' books and records in an attempt to verify the accuracy of the Claims. The Receiver also took into consideration the amount of money received by the Claimants in the form of distributions and settlement with third parties. Out of the one hundred and twenty-five (125) Investor Claims, fifty four (54) Investors received the aggregate sum of $\$ 5.9$ million from distributions made by the Receivership Entities prior to the Receivership Case. Moreover, 95 Investors brought claims against the brokerage firms where McLeod worked prior to the Receivership Case to recover damages. These Investors entered into confidential settlements with the brokerage firms. Due to the confidential nature of the settlements, the amounts received are not included in this motion. (As more fully described herein, the Receiver recommends that those Investors' claims be reduced by the amounts they received from third parties.)

## III. Objection to Disputed Claims

The Claims Order provides that, in the event the Receiver and the Claimant are unable to agree on the Claim amount:
"...the Receiver shall file with the Receivership Court a Motion to Set Claim amount. The Motion to Set Claim amount shall set forth the dispute over the claim and provide the Receivership Court with the documents in the Receiver's possession justifying the Receiver's position. The Claimant can then file a response to the Receiver's motion pursuant to the Receivership Court's local rules."

[^1]Thus far, the Receiver has reconciled and confirmed all but five (5) Claims (the "Disputed Claims"). Pursuant to the Claims Order, through this Motion, the Receiver formally objects to the Disputed Claims and seeks entry of an Order reducing or striking the Disputed Claims. The Receiver's explanation for the objecting to each of the Disputed Claims is set forth below.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(c), holders of Disputed Claims have fourteen (14) days after service of this Motion to file a response to the treatment of their claim as recommended by the Receiver. Failure to do so may be deemed sufficient cause for granting the Motion by default. The Receiver may within seven (7) days of service of the response, serve a reply. No further memoranda of law shall be filed without prior leave of Court. All materials in support of the motion, response or reply shall be served with the filing. In the event responses are filed, pursuant to Local Rule 7.1(b), the Receiver will request that the Receivership Court schedule a hearing to resolve the Claims Objections. The Receiver will highlight and summarize the procedures for the Claims Objections in a letter to be sent to each Disputed Claimholder's last known address, and also provide them with a copy of this Motion.

## A. The Receiver Disputes Claim No. 20 Filed by Capital Analysts Incorporated and Believes its Claim Should be Subordinated to Investors' Claims

Capital Analysts Incorporated ("CAI") improperly seeks to recoup from the receivership estate settlement proceeds it paid to Investors in settlement of arbitration claims brought by various Investors against CAI based on CAI's tortious conduct which those Investors alleged contributed to their losses. On December 27, 2010, CAI filed its claim for $\$ 15$ million in the Probate Case which was subsequently transferred to the Receivership Case by Court Order [D.E.

70]. According to CAI, the "bases for the claim are the contracts between the decedent, Kenneth W. McLeod, and [...] CAI and the decedent's actions that have breached his obligations to CAI and have exposed CAI to potential liability to various parties [...].]. Specifically, these other parties include Investors who "commenced various FINRA arbitration proceedings against CAI." Notably, however, the claims brought by Investors were based on CAI's individual conduct for which CAI has no right to recover from the receivership estate.

At the time McLeod perpetrated the Ponzi Scheme affecting the Investors with whom CAI settled, McLeod was a registered securities representative with CAI. Investors sued CAI for its own misfeasance, including claims for negligent supervision and breaches of various federal and state securities laws. The Receiver subpoenaed a copy of a Statement of Claim filed by two Investors in a FINRA arbitration against CAI and believes the allegations set forth in that Statement of Claim are representative of the claims filed by other Investors against CAI. ${ }^{5}$ Those investors alleged that CAI was liable to Investors for the damages they incurred, because, among other things, CAI aided McLeod by "providing him access to the market for securities investors, lending him an aura of respectability, and then failing to adequately supervise McLeod and the securities transactions he recommended" to Investors.

The Statement of Claim also alleged that CAI is liable to Investors based on various theories of liability, including the doctrine of respondent superior, its actions as a controlling person, agency law principles and negligence. Specifically, the Investors alleged that CAI authorized or, in the alternative, intentionally or negligently permitted, McLeod to engage in the activities that caused their

[^2]investment losses. Such allegations stem from CAI's negligent supervision of McLeod because the Investors allege that CAI, among other things, failed to properly review the securities investments recommended to Investors, failed to properly inspect and supervise McLeod's Jacksonville, Florida office, failed to properly supervise McLeod's activities in a manner reasonably designed to prevent or detect improper activity, failed to properly monitor and follow-up on communications with current or prospective broker/dealer customers, and failed to reasonably monitor or supervise the transfer of funds for purposes of their investments.

Further, Investors allege that CAI permitted a number of violations of laws, rules, and regulations, as well as its own internal policies and procedures in connection with the securities recommended to Investors by McLeod. Among other provisions, Investors allege that CAI violated various sections of Florida's Administrative Code, the Florida Securities Protection Act and Florida's Blue Sky Law (Fla. Stat. $\S 517.011$, et seq.). In summary, the Investors alleged that, instead of receiving conservative investments backed by government securities, they were victimized by a CAI registered representative and, therefore, CAI is independently liable.

Finally, Investors allege they were financially and emotionally devastated as a result of CAl's failures as a supervising broker/dealer, negligence, gross negligence, and negligent supervision. The Investors sought "actual damages proximately or legally caused by [CAI's] actions and/or inactions, benefit of the bargain damages, lost opportunity costs, model portfolio damages, prejudgment interest, and additional damages." With respect to the additional damages, the Investors alleged that punitive damages were appropriate in order to deter CAI from failing to appropriately protect investors in the future.

Ignoring the separate nature of the claims against it, CAI seeks indemnification from McLeod's estate and, thus, the receivership estate for the monies it paid to settle Investors' claims. CAI takes the position that McLeod's estate should be "responsible by contract, tort, or other common law, theories of indemnification or contribution, statute, or other bases, for all losses arising from [McLeod's] conduct." The Receiver wholly rejects CAI's position.

In fact, through a letter dated October 1, 2012, the Receiver denied CAI's claim in its entirety. The letter set forth the Receiver's position that CAI is not entitled to recover from the receivership estate at all and, even less, as a result of its settlement payments to Investors. ${ }^{6}$ Indeed, were CAI's claim to be allowed, CAI would effectively be diluting the claims of the very same Investors with whom it settled - thereby further victimizing Investors who thought they fruitfully recovered from an independent wrongdoer by way of settlement.

Notably, CAI did not file a lawsuit against McLeod based on theories of indemnification or contribution prior to Claims Bar Date. CAI took no affirmative action against McLeod until it sustained losses following settlement agreements it voluntarily entered into with Investors. CAI should be prohibited from using its settlements as a way to "buy a claim" it would not otherwise have - particularly when CAI failed to take any legal action to establish McLeod's alleged liability to CAI outside of the receivership estate.

Also troubling is that the Receiver was not a party to the settlements in his representative capacity on behalf of either the receivership or probate estates, nor was he made aware or the amount of the settlements until CAI notified the Receiver of its claim. CAI did not consolidate

[^3]the Investors' suits, defend the actions, or take other actions which could arguably have reduced its losses. Instead, CAI unilaterally chose to enter into settlement agreements with Investors.

It is inequitable for CAI to now seek to recover its losses - which equal an amount far greater than the total amount being held by the Receiver for distribution - from the receivership estate. The Receiver believes equity necessitates the striking or subordination of CAl's claim to all other claims filed in this Receivership Case and requests the Court approve the Receiver's objection and subordination of CAI's claim in its entirety.

## B. The Receiver Disputes Claim No. 1 Filed by Advance Funeral Funding LLC ${ }^{7}$

Advance Funeral Funding LLC ("AFF") incurred a debt of $\$ 8,968.05$, for funeral expenses paid by AFF to Hardage-Giddens Funeral Home on behalf of McLeod's wife, Susan McLeod, based on an Irrevocable Assignment/Reassignment of life insurance benefits executed by Ms. McLeod. Following the commencement of this action by the SEC, this Court entered its Preliminary Injunction Imposing Asset Freeze and Other Relief as to Defendant Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod (the "Injunction"), thereby freezing the life insurance proceeds which Ms. McLeod intended to cover McLeod's funeral expenses. The Receiver objected to AFF's claim as it was not timely filed and because AFF is not entitled to share in the funds from the receivership estate generally.

AFF's claims are personal in nature and its right to recover funds is more properly adjudicated in the Probate Case. Accordingly, on February 14, 2011, the Receiver's probate counsel sent a letter to AFF, along with a blank claim form, notifying it that the deadline to file a
${ }^{7}$ Attached as Composite Exhibit B, for the Court's consideration, is AFF's claim information and the Receiver's objection letter.
claim in the probate proceedings expired on March 16,2011 . Despite receiving said notice, AFF failed to submit a claim in the probate proceedings by the due date. Accordingly, AFF's claim was time barred in the Probate Case.

After missing the deadline to file its claim in the Probate Case, AFF chose to file a claim in the Receivership Case instead of seeking recovery directly from Ms. McLeod. AFF's payment of McLeod's funeral expenses is wholly unrelated to the Ponzi Scheme which formed the basis for this Receivership Case.

Regardless, of the forum, the Receiver does not believe AFF is entitled to funds from either the probate or receivership estates. Ms. McLeod entered into a settlement agreement with the Receiver regarding McLeod's life insurance policy, from which Ms. McLeod received $\$ 442,883.99$ in life insurance proceeds, including interest. (D.E. 64). Ms. McLeod agreed to the funeral expenses which she intended to be paid from the life insurance proceeds. Following her settlement with the Receiver regarding the insurance proceeds, the payment to AFF should be paid by Ms. McLeod from her portion of those proceeds. McLeod's victims should not have to pay for his personal funeral expenses.

Accordingly, the Receiver requests the Court authorize the Receiver to deny AFF's claim in its entirety in both the Receivership Case and the Probate Case.

## C. The Receiver Disputes Claims Filed by Individuals Who Did Not Invest in The Receivership Entities

Only Investors that invested in the Receivership Entities and who were directly affected by the Ponzi Scheme perpetrated by McLeod, should be entitled to participate in a distribution from the receivership estate. Indeed, the receivership estate and the claims process were created
by this Court in order to marshal and administer the assets of the Receivership Entities only. This Receivership Case was not established to correct every wrongdoing of McLeod.

The Receiver has received 3 claims from individuals who engaged McLeod, in his capacity as a registered representative, to manage their individual brokerage accounts with various brokerage firms: (1) Michael Ian Polsky (Claim \#95), (2) Nicklous T. Cooper (Claim \#28), and (3) Ronald \& Nanette VanGundy (Claim \#131) ("Unrelated Claimants"). ${ }^{8}$ Despite each of the claims being timely filed, the Receiver objected to the claims as they were unrelated to the FEBG Ponzi Scheme.

In fact, the claims were based more generally on losses sustained as a result of McLeod's performance as the Unrelated Claimants' representative and included claims such as "churning" and "excessive trading", among others. Despite being requested to produce documents demonstrating any involvement with the Receivership Entities, the Unrelated Claimants failed to do so. Accordingly, the Receiver hereby requests the Court to deny the Unrelated Claimants' claims as they have no connection to the Receivership Entities and, therefore, are not entitled to share in a distribution from the receivership estate.

## IV. Mechanism to Calculate Claims Distribution

After the Disputed Claims are resolved, the Receiver will seek authorization to make a distribution to the Claimants, on a pro-rata basis, based on the amount of their allowed Claims. Presently, the Receiver estimates that the allowed claims equal $\$ 14,128,933.46$. However, the Receiver has marshaled the sum of $\$ 1.4$ million for distribution to pay allowed claims and

[^4]administrative expenses. In situations such as this case, where the amount of claims exceeds the funds available for distribution, the Receivership Court is obligated to devise an equitable system of distribution with the goal of treating each claimant fairly and as nearly equal as possible. See U.S. v. Cabe, 311 F.Supp.2d 501, 504 (D.S.C. 2003).

In deciding how the assets of the receivership estate should be paid out to aggrieved investors and other creditors, courts have "broad authority ... to approve a plan of distribution proposed by [the] receiver." SEC v. Parish, No. 07-00919, 2010 WL 5394736 at *5 (D.S.C. February 10, 2010); S.E.C. v. Byers, 637 F.Supp.2d 166, 174 (S.D.N.Y.2009) (citing S.E.C. v. Credit Bancorp, Ltd., 290 F.3d 80, 82-83 (2d Cir.2002); S.E.C. v. Forex Asset Mgmt. LLC, 242 F.3d 325, 332 (5th Cir.2001)). The Receivership Court has the power to approve any plan as long as it is "'fair and reasonable.' " Parish; (quoting Byers, 637 F.Supp.2d at 174); see also S.E.C. v. P.B. Ventures, 1991 WL 269982, at *2 (E.D.Pa. Dec. 11, 1991) ("No specific distribution scheme is mandated so long as the distribution is 'fair and equitable.' ")

What is "fair and equitable" for one victim may be perceived as "unfair and inequitable" to another, depending on how each stands to benefit under the selected distribution scheme. Parish, 2010 WL 5394736 at $* 5$. "For a District Court sitting in equity, ... it is important to remember that each investor's recovery comes at the expense of the others." Byers, 637 F.Supp.2d at 176. When, as here, there is "a small pie and many disappointed investors," there is an inherent conflict among investors. Commodity Futures Trading Comm'n v. Hoffberg, 1993 WL 441984, at *2 (N.D.Ill. Oct. 28, 1993).

An equitable plan of distribution, therefore, will not necessarily be better for each and every investor than any other plan since each investor will fare differently under different plans. Thus, since equity demands equal treatment of investors in a factually similar case, see e.g. Capital Consultants, 397 F. 3d at 738-739, and, since all the investors in the present case should be considered to occupy the same legal position as other investors, equity should not permit any individual investor in this case any preferential treatment over any another investor for "equality is equity". See generally S.E.C. v. Elliott, 953 F.2d 1560, 1570 (11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1992), rev'd in part on other grounds, 998 F. 2d 922 ( $11^{\text {th }}$ Cir. 1993).

Accordingly, the Receiver proposes that distributions be calculated based on the "net investment method." Under this approach, any cash distributions received prior to the SEC's filing of the Receivership Case, and any funds received from collateral sources would be subtracted from the total amount of the actual investment and that would be the starting point for the pro rata distribution. The net investment approach easily levels the playing field in terms of the amount of actual money paid into an investment scheme by investors. The equity of this approach is illustrated by the following examples:

Example 1: Investor A invests $\$ 100,000$ into a Ponzi scheme, never takes any money out and therefore has a claim for the full $\$ 100,000$. Assuming a pro rata multiplier of $10 \%$, Investor A's distribution would be $\$ 10,000 .{ }^{9}$

Example 2: Investor B invests $\$ 100,000$ into a Ponzi scheme, but received a cash distribution from the Receivership Entities prior to the receivership of $\$ 20,000$. Investor B's net investment amount therefore is $\$ 80,000$. Assuming a pro rata multiplier of $10 \%$, Investor $\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ distribution would be $\$ 8,000$.

[^5]Example 3: Investor C invested $\$ 100,000$ into a Ponzi scheme and received no sums back from the Receivership Entities, but entered into a settlement with a third party based on the same loss whereby Investor $C$ obtained a payment of $\$ 60,000$. Investor C's net investment amount therefore is $\$ 40,000$. Assuming a pro rata multiplier of $10 \%$, Investor C's distribution would be $\$ 4,000$.

Upon careful consideration of all available methods of calculation, the Receiver recommends the net investment method be used in this case because he believes it to be the most equitable method of calculating claims for purposes of a distribution of receivership assets under the unique facts of this Receivership Case. The Receiver finds it wholly inequitable to ignore any distributions and third party payments (e.g., settlement payments received by investors from settlements with CAI), and intends to base his calculations on the amount of an Investor's gross investment. This is particularly true given that fifty-two (52) Investors received distributions and ninety-five (95) Investors received third party payments. ${ }^{10}$

A list is attached hereto as Exhibit D, identifying each Investor with an Undisputed Claim that received pre-receivership distributions from the Receivership Entities and/or funds from collateral sources, and the corresponding amounts which should be subtracted from their gross investment for purposes of calculating their net claim. ${ }^{11}$ Due to a confidentiality agreement between the Receiver, CAI, and other settling third party defendants, portions of Exhibit D have been redacted so as not to disclosure the amounts awarded under the third party settlements. The

[^6]Receiver will disclose these amounts to each Investor listed on Exhibit D separately in a letter, along with a copy of this Motion. Moreover, the Receiver intends on filing contemporaneously with the filing of this Motion, an ex-parte motion to file Exhibit D under seal.

The Receiver strongly urges the Court to approve the net investment method as it is the most equitable. It would provide the greatest number of Claimants with the greatest recovery possible without inequitably rewarding some investors at the expense of others. See Byers, 637 F.Supp.2d at 184. After the Receivership Court approves a formula to calculate Claims distribution, the Receiver will formalize a plan of distribution and return same to the Court for final approval.

WHEREFORE, the Receiver respectfully requests this Court enter an Order (i) setting the amount of the Disputed Claims and/or denying the Disputed Claims in full; (ii) establish the net investment method for calculating claims distributions; and (iii) for such other relief that is just and proper.

## CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 7.1

Pursuant to Local Rule 7.1, undersigned counsel hereby certifies that the Receiver has conferred with counsel for the SEC who has no objection to the relief requested herein.

Respectfully submitted,
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
Court Appointed Receiver
Las Olas Centre II, Suite 1600
350 East Las Olas Boulevard
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone: (954) 463-2700
Facsimile: (954) 463-2224
By: Michael I, Goldberg
Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver
Florida Bar No.: 886602

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this $4^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing Motion with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record and other interested parties identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notice of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronic notices.

By: $\frac{\mathrm{ls} / \text { Michael I. Goldberg }}{$|  Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver  |
| :--- |
|  Florida Bar No.:  886602 |}

## SERVICE LIST

## 1:10-cv-22078-FAM

Notice has been electronically mailed to:
C. Ian Anderson andersonci@sec.gov, jacqmeinv@sec.gov, landaul@sec.gov

Securities \& Exchange Commission
801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800
Miami, FL 33131
andersonci@sec.gov
representing Securities and Exchange Commission
Michael Ira Goldberg michael.goldberg@akerman.com, charlene.cerda@akerman.com,
kimberly.matregrano@akerman.com; Tamara Jill Savin tamara.savin@akerman.com
Akerman Senterfitt
350 E Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1600
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-0006
954-463-2700
954-463-2224 (fax)
michael.goldberg@akerman.com
representing Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver
Matthew R. Kachergus sheplaw@att.net
William J. Sheppard
Matthew R. Kachergus
D. Gray Thomas

Sheppard White Thomas \& Kachergus PA
215 Washington Street
Jacksonville, FL 32202
904-356-9661
904-356-9667 (fax)
sheplaw@att.net
representing Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod
Mark Devereaux mark.devereaux@usdoj.gov
Assistant United States Attorney
USAO No. 049
300 N. Hogan Street, Suite 700
Jacksonville, Florida 32202-4270
904-301-6300
904-301-6310 (fax)
representing United States of America

## Notice has been sent by Certified Mail RRR to:

Internal Revenue Service Center
Attention: Service Center Director
Ogden, Utah 84201
Internal Revenue Service
Attention: SPECIAL PROCEDURES INSOLVENCY UNIT
P.O. Box 21126

Philadelphia, PA 19114
Department of Treasury
Office of the General Counsel
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20220
U.S. Department of Justice

Office of the Attorney General 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001
Notice has been posted on the Receiver's website at:
www.febginfo.com

Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver<br>Las Olas Centre II<br>350 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1600<br>Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229<br>Toll Free (800) 223-2234<br>Website: www.febginfo.com

October 1, 2012

## VIA EMAIL \& U.S. MAIL

J. Andrew Bertron, Jr., Esquire

Nelson Mullins Riley \& Scarborough LLP
3600 Maclay Blvd., South, Suite 202
Tallahassee, Florida 30212
Re: Securities and Exchange Commission v. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al. United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida
Case No.: 10-22078-CV-Moreno/Torres
Claimant Name: Capital Analysts Incorporated
Claim Amount: $\$ 15,000,000$
Claim No.: 020

Dear Mr. Bertron:
As you know, I am the court appointed Receiver for Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc. and F\&S Asset Management, Inc. (collectively "Receivership Entities"). This letter is to notify you that I object to the claim Capital Analysts Incorporated's ("CAI") filed in the above-referenced case.

Specifically, by filing its claim, CAI is attempting to recoup money it paid out as settlement funds to various victims who suffered substantial losses as a result of Kenneth Wayne McLeod's Ponzi scheme conducted while he was a registered representative for CAI. It is my understanding that these individuals sued CAI alleging that it had a duty to supervise Mr. McLeod and should be held responsible for Mr. McLeod's wrongful actions. Moreover, it is my understanding that these individuals alleged that CAI was a joint tortfeasor of McCleod, irrespective of whether or not it was a direct participant in Mr. McLeod's fraud. CAI chose to settle with these individuals rather than fight these claims.

By filing its claim, CAI attempts to recover some of its loses from the Receivership Entities. I can only assume that CAI's claim is based on some sort of alleged right to contribution.
J. Andrew Bertron, Jr., Es, itire

Nelson Mullins Riley \& Scarborough LLP
October 1, 2012
Page 2

However, it is my position that CAI is effectively diluting the recovery of the very same victims they have settled with in ancillary proceedings and its claim should be subordinate to the claims of innocent victims.

You should know that disputed claims will be dealt with through an objection process to be outlined by the court at a later date. Typically this entails an opportunity for you to file with the court a written objection to my findings and, if necessary, a hearing to determine whether your objections are meritorious. However, it is worth noting that the distribution in this case is expected to be minimal, therefore, it is my hope that CAI will voluntarily agree to walk away from its claim without the need for either of us to incur legal expense.

If you would like to discuss this matter further, please contact me directly at (954) 468-2444.
Sincerely,


## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR ST. JOHNS COUNTY, FLORIDA, PROBATE DIVISION



The undersigned hereby presents for filing against the above estate this statement of claim and alleges:
(1) The bases for the claim are the contracts between the decedent, Kenneth W. McLeod, and Capital Analysts Incorporated ("CAI") and the decedent's actions that have breached his obligations to CAI and have exposed CAI to potential liability to various parties, including but not limited to certain individual investors who have commenced various FINRA arbitration proceedings against CAI and other parties. This claim also encompasses all potential amounts for which CAl may be held responsible atising from claimants and governmental or self-regulatory authorities who have not yet commenced proceedings against CAI arising from or related to decedent's to conduct but may do so in the future. Those investors are identified as the claimants on Exhibit A to this claim, and their arbitrations listed on Exhibit A are referred to collectively as the "Arbitrations." The decedent was a former registered securities representative with CAI, It is from that relationship that this claim has arisen. As a registered representative of CAI, decedent had contractual obligations to CAI and owed CAI certain fiduciary duties arising from the relationship. To the extent decedent's actions have breached those obligations and have exposed CAI to liability for damages in the Arbitrations and for penalties, fines or other amounts assessed by government and industry regulators including self-regulatory organizations,
decedent's estate should be responsible by contract, tort or other common law, theories of indemnification or contribution, statute, or other bases, for all losses atising from decedent's conduct, including but not limited to the claimants' losses alleged in the Arbitrations which total approximately Fifteen Million and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 15,000,000.00$ ), plus CAI's legal fees, costs, and expenses associated with the Arbitrations, and any judgments, awards, settlements, restitution, penalties, and fines arising from, but not limited to, all arbitrations, other lawsuits, regulatory proceedings, or other matters associated with decedent, whether currently pending or otherwise commenced in the future.
(2) The name and address of the claimant are Capital Analysts Incorporated, 303 Broadway, Suite 1500 , Cincinnati, Ohio, 45202 , and the name and address of the claimant's attorney is set forth below.
(3) The amount of the claim could exceed Fifteen Million and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 15,000,000.00$ ) based upon the damages claimants have alleged in the Arbitrations, plus other potential losses referenced in item 1 above,
(4) The claim is contingent or unliquidated because the claims asserted in the Arbitrations are pending and other potential unasserted claims have not been resolved and because it is unknown if any action will be taken by any governmental or self-regulatory authority.
(5) The claim is not secured.

Under penalties and pains of pexjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing, and the facts alleged are true, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

## Signed on Décember 22,2010.

Attomeys for Claimant, Capital Analysts Incorporated

## NELSON MULLINS RILEY

\& SCARBOROUGH LLP

J. Ahdrew Bertron, Jr.

Floxida Bar No. 982849
3600 Maclay Boulevard South
Suite 202
Tallahassee, Florida 30212
(850) 907-2507 - phone
(850) 907-2552 - telecopy
andy.bertron@nelsonmullins.com

~44829-9536.2056 Y.1~

## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR ST. JOHNS COUNTY, RLORIDA, PROBATE DIVISION



List of proceedings involving Capital Analysts Incorporated including claims allegedly arising from the actions of the decedent, Kenneth W. McLeod:

1. Thomas and Karen Mariin v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No. 10-03715.
2. Sandra Gilley, Scott and Montye Crawford, John and Monica Riley, and Harry Richards v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FNNRA Arbitration No, 10-03620.
3. Gary and Karyn Lang, Mary Salazar; Kristine Tierney, and Michael and Julie Cashman v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No. 10-05054.
4. Clara Cosgrove, Colette Dennehy, Candice Teresi, Walter and Elaine Morrlson, Mark and Theresa Hamlet, Tracy and Susan Donahue, Steven and Melissa Derr, James and Tamara Slater, Thomas and Gena Cindric, Stepher and Mary Snyder, Bettina Catalano, and Andrew and Olivia Thompson v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No. 10-00823.
5. Rebecca Branum, Deborah Loftus-Ervthum, James and Nancy Woolley, and Anthony Angladà v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No. 10-05064.
6. Anthony and Jean Marotta, Milton and Kelly Galanos, David and Lisa Grant, Thomas and Cynthia Bush, and Joseph and Donna Bendig v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No. 10-05066.
7. Gail Fagan, Barbara and Robert Devine, Kimberly Pribble, Scotl Snyder, Joey Lenseigne, Robert and Colleen Marchi, Brian and Kryssa Miller, Michael Parra, Hugh Goben, Carolos Mitchem II, and John and Kristine Kelley v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No. 1004914.
8. Gladys Crespo, Daniel and Martha Brown, Donald and Sandy Garrett, Justin and Tammy Peterson, Keith and Gladys Kruskall, Joe Figueroa, Ronald and Shigemi Grimes, Brian and Victoria Quirk, and Richard and Carol Reck v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No, 10-04954.
9. Edward Kohn Jr,, Sandra Hill, Mark and Mary Ellen Trouville, Kurt and Clara Coront, Adam Arbitman, Ronald Branch Jr., Patrick and Kelly Campbell, John and Laüra Cannon, Rachel Cannon, Jumes Dicaprio, Douglas and Laurte Garner, Harold Garner, Sandra Goetz, Mitchell and Jami Price, Debra Wright and James Sourini, Gregory Swift, and-Robert Vance v. Capital Analysts Incorporated and Lincoln Financial Securities Corporation, FINRA Arbitration No, 10-05186.
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10. Any and all other arbitrations, lawsuits, proceedings brought before regulatory or selfregulatory authorities, or other matters, whether currently pending or commenced in the future.

## VIA U.S. MAIL \& EMAIL

Advance Funeral Funding LLC
Attn: Jason Drewry, Owner
4116 Jean Shackelford Drive
Chesapeake, VA 23221
Re: Securities and Exchange Commission y. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al. United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida Case No.: 10-22078-CV-Moreno/Torres

Claimant Name: Advance Funeral Funding LLC
Claim Amount: $\$ 8,968.05$
Claim No.: 001

Dear Mr. Drewry:
I am writing to you on behalf of Michael I. Goldberg, the court appointed Receiver for Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc. and F\&S Asset Management, Inc. (collectively "Receivership Entities") in connection with the above-referenced matter (the "Receivership Case"). This letter is to notify you that the Receiver objects to Advance Funeral Funding LLC's (hereafter "Advance Funeral") claim for the payment of Kenneth McLeod's funeral expenses filed in the Receivership Case. Specifically, the Receiver objects to Advance Funeral's claim because payment of Mr. McLeod's funeral expenses is considered a personal debt, and not an obligation of the Receivership Entities.

You should know that all disputed claims will be dealt with through an objection process to be outlined by the court at a later date. Typically this entails an opportunity for you to file with the court a written objection to the Receiver's findings and, if necessary, a hearing to determine whether your objections are meritorious.
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Advance Funeral Funding_ LC
Attn: Jason Drewry, Owner
August 20, 2012
Page 2

If you would like to discuss this matter further, please contact our office at (800) 223-2234.
Sincerely,

## AKERMAN SENTERFITT

Hui Matregrano<br>Kimberly Matregrano, CP, FRP<br>Florida Registered Paralegal



SECURITY AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, Plaintiff,
vs.
ESTATE OF KENNETH WAYNE MCLEOD, F\&S ASSET MANAGEMENT GROUP. INC. and FEDERAL EMPLOYEES BENEFITS GROUP, INC.

## Defendants.

CASE NO.: 10-22078-Civ-Moreno
 than October 31,2011. Failure to timely file a claim shall result in a waiver of any rights to participate in any distribution of funds through this Receivership.

## 1. CLAIMANT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name of Claimant (s):


Name of Person submitting form on behalf of Claimant, if different: Iftson Drewry - Owner **Please attach Power of Attorney, or Letters of Administration

Physical Address Where Notices and Checks Should be Sent: (Do NOT use P.O. Box unless Military)
4116 Jean Shackelford Drive
CheSapeake VA 23321

Telephone No.: $\qquad$
Email Address: $\qquad$
SS\# or, Tax Payer ID: $\square$
Date of Birth: $\qquad$
Check one: How are you affiliated with the Receivership Entities: I am an $\square$ Investor $\square$ Business Creditor $\square$ Other

## SETDCEAMFEOMHIO

Michael l. Goldberg, Receiver
coo Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc.
Akerman Senterfitt
350 E. Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1600
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229
For Questions Call: (800) 223-2234

## CLAIM STATUS:

Check box if you have never received any notices from the Receiver
$\square$ Check box if your address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the Receiver

Check here if this Claim Form:
$\square$ amends
replaces
$\square$ supplements.
a previously fled Claim Form in the Probate Proceedings. If so, please provide the date when you fled your initial claim $\qquad$
Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a Proof of Claim. relating to your claim. (Attach statement explaining same).

THIS SPACE IS FOR RECEIVER'S USE ONLY:

Claim No.: $\qquad$

## II. INVESTMENT HISTORY (Complete this section if you are an Investor - Business \& Other Creditors should skip to Section IV below)

What is the total amount of money you invested? Please list the date and dollar amount for each separate principal investment made. The total should only include actual funds you gave to any of the Receivership Entities and should not include any credits, interest, rollovers, dividends, etc. Please provide copies of checks (front and back), wire transfer verifications, account statements, carbon checks, evidencing each investment listed below (Use additional sheets if necessary)

| Drate of <br> (monsaction <br> year) | Amount <br> Paid <br> (\$ amount) | Payment <br> Method <br> (i.e. check, <br> wire, cashier's <br> check, cash) | Name of Financial <br> Institution from which <br> payment was made <br> and account number | Are you producing with <br> your Proof of Claim <br> documents relating to <br> this transaction? <br> (Yes or No) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Securities \& Exchange Commission v. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al.
Case No.: 10-22078-CIV-MORENO

IV. BUSINESS \& OTHER CLAIMS (Complete this section ONLY if you are a Business Creditor or have Other Claims against the Receivership Entities)

1. Basis of Claim: (Check One)
$\square$ Goods SoldServices Performed
$\square$ Money LoanedTaxesWages, salaries or compensation
Other (Please provide a detailed explanation. Attach additional sheet if necessary): Assignment on Life insurance - docks attecled
2. Entity with whom claim was incurred: Susan Mcleod
3. Dates) serviced performed or when claim was incurred: June, 28,2010
4. Is a Legal Action Pending? Yes $\qquad$ No If so, please indicate the following: Case Name: $\qquad$ Case No.: $\qquad$ Jurisdiction Pending:
Date Case Commenced: $\qquad$ If Court Judgment, Date Obtained: $\qquad$
5. Total Amount of Claim \$_ 8968.05
$\square$ Check this box if you contend your claim is subject to a security interest. If so, you must attach copies of all security agreements and other documents to evidence the secured status.
$\square$ Check this box if your claim includes interest, or other charges, such as attorney's fees, lost profits, or late fees in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.

## V. GENERAL

In addition to the payments listed in Section III, have you ever received anything else of value either difectly or indirectly from Kenneth Wayne McLeod, Federal Employees Benefits Group, or F\&S Asset Management Group, Inc. (for example personal property, shares of stock, interest in business ventures) $\qquad$ Yes

If yes, please explain below the circumstances and reasons for the transfers, and identify what was received, when it was received, and where it came from (Attach additional sheet if necessary).
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## VI. CERTIFICATION OF TRUTHFULNESS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, that all of the information provided in this
Proof of Claim, including all attachments, is true and correct and that the undersigned is authorized to make this claim. I further certify that I have a duty to supplement or amend my Proof of Claim to disclose a material change with respect to any answer or information provided herein.

Signed, under penalty of perjury this $20^{n}$ day of $\qquad$ 2011

Signature:
Print Name:
Title, if any:


To receive an acknowledgement of the filing of your claim, we strongly encourage you to send your Proof of Claim via certified and/or registered mail, return receipt requested, OR include a self-addressed postage prepaid envelope with your Proof of Claim when submitting it to the Receiver. Claim Forms that have been modified or redacted in any way may be rejected.
**IMPORTANT NOTICE**
YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE RECEIVER'S OFFICE NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 31, 2011 TO BE CONSIDERED A TIMELY FILED CLAIM

MAIL THE COMPLETED AND SIGNED FORM AND ALL OF YOUR DOCUMENTATION TO:

Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver

coo Federal Employees Benefits Group, Inc.
Akerman Senterfitt
350 East Las Oles Blvd., Suite 1600

- Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229

For Questions Concerning Filing of Your Proof of Claim Call the Receiver's Office at (800) 223-2234

For additional information concerning this receivership, please visit the Receiver's website at: www.febginfo.com

## PAYMENT MEMORANDUM

## ADVANCE FUNERAL FUNDING, LLC

P. O. Box 5068

Portsmouth, VA 23703
Toll Free: (877) 638-2955
Fax: (866) 459-4546

| DATE: | June 28, 2010 |
| ---: | ---: |
| AFF Case \#: | 29013 |
| Case Manager: | Bridgette Rice |
| Payment Type: | ACH |
| Net Amount Paid: | $\$ 8,541.00$ |


| Customer: | Hardage-Giddens Funeral Home |
| :--- | :--- |
| Attention: | Karen C. Bacchus |
| Fax \#: | $904-260-6735$ |

CONTRACT \#: 234201002271
An ACH payment is being transmitted for credit to your location account, representing payment on the following request.

| Insured | Inswance Company | Assigned/Avail. | AFF5\% Fee | Payable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kenneth W. McLeod | Prudential <br> Policy \#V6012949 | $\$ 8,968.05$ | $\$ 427.05$ | $\$ 8,541.00$ |
| TOTALS |  | $\$ 8,968.05$ | $\$ 427.05$ | $\$ 8,541.00$ |

$\rightarrow-$ IMPORTANT: The following checked documents MUST be forwarded to our office within 3 (three) business days of your receipt of this payment-Do not wait for the death certificate-send all other documents and forward the Death Certificate when it is available. The funds above are being paid contingent upon our receiving the following documents within $\underline{3}$ (three) business days.
( $\downarrow$ ) Original completed, signed and notarized Irrevocable Assignment / Reassignment(s) for each of the above insurance companies / policies.
$(\checkmark) 1$ Original Certified final Death Certificate with raised seal
$(\checkmark)$ Original claim form (claimants statement) for Prudential completed and signed by the beneficiary or beneficiaries
$(\checkmark)$ Original policy OR a completed and notarized Lost Policy Affidavit
$(\checkmark)$ Copy of the Funeral or Cemetery Contract signed by the beneficiary or beneficiaries
( ) Other:

## OTHER CONTINGENCIES: NONE

# ADVANCE FUNERAL FUNDING，LLC 

P．O．BOX 5068
Portsmouth，VA 23703－5068
Tax ID\＃：20－1640964

July 2， 2010
PRUDENTIAL LIFE
ATN：LIFE CLAIMS
2101 WELSH ROAD
DRESHER，PA 19025

## Re：Insured： <br> Policy Number（s）： <br> KENNETH W MCCLEOD V601！

To Whom it May Concern：
Advance Funeral Funding，LLC has paid a reassignment on the above－referenced insured in the amount of $\$ 8,968.05$ ．The following．checked documents are submitted in regards to our claim for payment：
（区）Original ifrevocable Assignment and Reassignment（s）
（囚）Claimant＇s Statement／Claim Form（s）
（■）Original life insurance policy（s）and／or Lost Policy Affidavit（s）．
（区）Original Finalized Certified Death Certificate showing Cause of Death
（■）Pending Death Certificate（finalized Original Death Certificate will follow）
（口）Death Certificate is not available at this time（will follow）
（■）Copy of the itemized funeral controct
（ $\square$ ）Other：
（■）Other：

Please revlew the enclosed documents，and forward a check in the amount of \＄8，968．05 payable solely and exclusively to Advance Funeral．Funding，LLC，P．O．BOX 5068， Portsmouth，VA 23703－5068．

Best Regards，
Michelle Ray
Clalms Department Manager
MRR：qe

FOR VALUE RECEVED. I WET, THE UNDERSIGNED IENEFICLARIES UNIRER THE INSURAMCE POLIGY, OR DEATH BENEFTT CERTIFIEATE. NLMRER OR DEING ME PERSON EQUITABLY EMTITLED TO THE BENEFITS THEREUNDER ON
Poucy numaeras) Viodo'
 AMOUNT of Cight thastord in
 OVER ANO TRANSFER unto Hardage-giddens Funeral Home (THE FUNERAL MOME"), its - his guccessors and ASSIGNS.
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* IN WITNESS WHEREOF, 1 (WE) HAVE HEREUNTO SET OUR HAND(S) AND SEAL(S) THI

SNXP (ARONTH), 20,

STATE OF FLORIOA
CITYICOUNTY OF $)$ ind
 $\leq 5$



Gonio Slalock NOTARY FUBLUL IN AND FOR THE STATE AND CITY/ COUNTY AFORESAID; WO HEREGY


 MY, COMMISSION EXPIRES: Fop lesalsar la, 301 ,

## IRREVOCABLE REASSIGNMENT TO ADVANCE FUNERAL FUNDING, LLC


ADVANCE FUNERAL FUNDING, IIC, P.O. BOX 3068 , PORTS*OUTH, VRCQNA 23703, ITS SUGCE55ORS ANDASSICNS, ALL OF OUR

 GHALL BE RRREVOCARLE. AND COUPED WITI AN INTEREST. IALSO DUREGT THAY PAYAENT GE HAOE OSRECTRY ARQ SOLELYTO ADVANCE FUNERA
 FUREGE, TO THE EXECUITON OF THES REASGIGAKENT TO ADVANCE FUNERAL FUNOING, LLG, THEN IAGREE TO HOLO THE PROGEEDS IN TRUST俗


 FUNOING, LLC, AND WOTREOURED OF WEIUS TO EE PAR TO ADVANCE FIJNERAL FUNDING, LLC, AS A PART OF MY/OUR PURCHASE
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Life insurance Death Benefits<br>Claim form
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## 
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Name of glaimant (first midde intial, Ast name) Suegan M Maleod
stret 1543 Wentur or th Alyenue

Date of birtin $\qquad$ Daytine tolaphone :/80 $1 \times 753-0453$ Evering taephnen $2041230 \cdot 4 / 28$ month / day/year

This form is being completed by. (Must afieck one,)
 II Yes, in order tor us to considar the assignment, you nust inctude with this clain form a copy of the assianment that spacifies the ampunt assigned.
出e boneftciory,
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 a tersomentary trust.
 the nundry name sud data of biriti below.)
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#### Abstract

  oroviciers，phomacies，empleyers，incurance enmpanies，investigative consumer reporting ggencles and afiar zgencies，inoluding  Predentifl，excheding paychotires apy fotes．    partaining su： Instrent＇s nome（firat nimu，midde initiat，fost namel Kenneth w Mcleod Date of bith（month／fay／fear） $\qquad$ fate cl death（muntlvday／yar） $\qquad$ $06 / 22 / 2010$ i authorize Prudential or its authorined representarives to disclere poliry anc benefts information，induding but not timited to the clain  sasiznees of the insurance benelits or incesponse to inquiness from these nadividuals．       wifi bo protactad by cther applicable fedaral and state lows rolatiog to the provection of persenal infemation．For purposes of this authorizanon，I hereby reyoke siny prior jestriction on disclosure of medical racords provided to any nedical provider and athorze the reigasa of the insured＇s entire medical recerd to Pridential，axclading prychotharapy noter．
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Akerman Senterfitt
Las Olas Centre ll, Suite 1600

Dir: 954.759.8929
kimberiy.matregrano@akerman.com

March 26, 2012

## VIA U.S. MAIL

Nicklous T. Cooper
Unit 3030, Box 5143
DPO, AA 34004

## Re: Securities and Exchange Commission v. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al. United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida Case No.: 10-22078-CV-Moreno/Torres

Claim No.: 28
Dear Mr. Cooper:
I am writing to you on behalf of Michael I. Goldberg, the court appointed Receiver for Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc. and F\&S Asset Management, Inc. (collectively "Receivership Entities") in connection with the above-referenced matter (the "Receivership Case). This letter is to notify you that the Receiver objects to your claim filed in the Receivership Case in total. Specifically, the Receiver objects to your claim on the basis that he is only recognizing claims directly related to the ${ }_{3}$ FEBG Bond Fund Ponzi scheme. Your claim, as filed, is based on "churning" and "excessive trading" by Mr. McLeod in and out of your brokerage account that he managed for you while working as a registered representative. Accordingly, unless you notify us within 30 days from the date of this letter that you invested in the FEBG Bond Fund, the Receiver will have no choice but to list your claim as disputed when it comes time to move the court for authorization to confirm claims and make an initial distribution.

You should know that disputed claims will be dealt with through an objection process to be outlined by the Court at a later date. Typically this entails an opportunity for you to file with the Court a written objection to the Receiver's findings and, if necessary, a hearing to determine ghetherix. your objections are meritorious.
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Nicklous T. Cooper
March 26, 2012
Page Two

If you would like to discuss this matter further, please contact our office at (800) 223-2234.
Sincerely,
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
Hui Matregrano.
Kimberly Matregrano, CP, FRP
Florida Registered Paralegal

PROOF OF CLAIM TO BE FILED WITH RECEIVER - DO NOT FILE WITH COURT

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION | ROOFOF CLAMM |
| :---: | :---: |
| SECURITY AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, <br> Plaintiff, <br> vs. <br> ESTATE OF KENNETH WAYNE MCLEOD, F\&S ASSET MANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. and FEDERAL EMPLOYEES BENEFITS GROUP, INC., <br> Defendants. | CASE NO.: 10-22078-Civ-Moreno |
| DEADLINE FOR FILING OF CLAIM: This Proof of Claim must be received by the Receiver's office no later than October 31, 2011. Failure to timely file a claim shall result in a waiver of any rights to participate in any distribution of funds through this Receivership. |  |
| I. CLIAIMANT CONTACT INFORMATION: <br> Name of Claimant(s): $\qquad$ <br> Name of Person submitting form on behalf of Claimant, if different: $\qquad$ <br> **Please attach Power of Attorney or Letters of Administration <br> *ks Should be Sent: <br> Nicklous T. Cooper <br> Unit 3030, Box 5143 $\qquad$ <br> DPO, AA 34004 $\qquad$ <br> Telephone No.: $\qquad$ $011571275-2269$ <br> Email Address: $\qquad$ SS\# or Tax Payer ID: <br> Date of Birth: $\qquad$ $\qquad$ <br> Check one: How are yert affiliated with the Receivership <br> Entities: I am an 4 Investor $\square$ Business Creditor $\square$ Other | CLAIM STATUS: <br> Check box if you have never received any notices from the Receiver Check box if your address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the Receiver <br> Check here if this Claim Form: $\square$ amends replaces supplements a previously filed Claim Form in the Probate Proceedings. If so, please provide the date when you filed your initial claim $\qquad$ <br> $\square$ Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a Proof of Claim relating to your claim. (Attach statement explaining same). |
| SEND CLAIM FORM TO: <br> Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver c/o Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc. Akerman Senterfitt <br> 350 E. Las Olas Blivd., Suite 1600 <br> Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229 <br> For Questions Call: (800) 223-2234 | THIS SPACE IS FOR RECEIVER'S USE ONLY: <br> Claim No.: $\qquad$ <br>  |
|  |  |

II. INVESTMENT HISTORY (Complete this section if you are an Investor - Business \& Other Creditors should skip to Section IV below)
What is the total amount of money you invested? Please list the date and dollar amount for each separate principal investment made. The total should only include actual funds you gave to any of the Receivership Entities and should not include any credits, interest, rollovers, dividends, etc. Please provide copies of checks (front and back), wire transfer verifications, account statements, carbon checks, evidencing each investment listed below (Use additional sheets if necessary)

|  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { Payment } \\ \text { Method } \\ \text { (i.e. check, } \\ \text { wire, cashier's } \\ \text { check, cash) }\end{array}\right\|$ | Name of Financial Institution from which payment was made and account number | Are you producing with your Proof of Claim documents relating to this transaction? (Yes or No ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 19 / 2006$ | 286,89\% | Thater Sinurres PAN TDAWSESR | TSp ROM OVER ${ }_{2 k}^{2} k_{3}-$ | yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

III. PAYMENTS RECEIVED (Complete this section If you are an Investor - Business \& Other Creditors should skip to Section IV below)
What is the total amount of money you actually received, either in the form of interest, principal, dividends, withdrawals, referral fees, commissions or other. These amounts should only include actual funds you received and should not include unpaid or roll-over interest that accrued in your account. Please break down each individual payment you received and provide copies of checks (front and back), wire transfer verifications, account statements, 1099's, correspondence (including email correspondence) evidencing each payment listed below. (Use additional sheets if necessary).

| evidencing each <br> Date of <br> Transaction <br> (monthldayl <br> year) | Amount <br> R\&ceived <br> ( $\$$ amount) | Payment Method (1.e. check, wire, cash) |  | Are you producing with your Proof of Claim 位 documents this transaction? (Yes or No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12/2007 | 8, 15,000 | CHECK | WITHOCSWAL | NO |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Securities \& Exchange Commission y. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al.
Case No.: 10-22078-CIV-MORENO
IV. BUSINESS \& OTHER CLAIMS (Complete this section ONLY if you are a Business Creditor or have Other Claims against the Receivership Entities)

1. Basis of Claim: (Check One)Goods SoldServices PerformedMoney LoanedTaxesWages, salaries or compensation
$\mathbb{Q}$ Other (Please provide a detailed explanation. Attach additional sheet if necessary):
PRINCPLE AMOUNT QF FIOENTY decoult, ESTABLLSIED ANO MANAGED SH FEME NS "CHURNED" OR MODES EEYCNO REASONABLE FREQUENCY TO GEMERHIE EXES EKG FEES.
2. Entity with whom claim was Incurred: $\qquad$ EloskTy ITv/ESTHENJT
3. Dates) serviced performed or when claim was incurred:

WPON Ascoceny OF DENTH
4. Is a Legal Action Pending? Yes tho If so, please indicate the following? Case Name: $\qquad$ Case No: $\qquad$

Jurisdiction Pending:
Date Case Commenced: $\qquad$ If Court Judgment, Date Obtained: $\qquad$ 38
5. Total Amount of Claim \$ $\qquad$ 8
$\square$ Check this box if you contend your claim is subject to a security interest. If so, you must attach copies of all security agreements and other documents to evidence the secured status.Check this box if your claim Includes Interest, or other charges, such as attorney's fees, lost profits, or late fees in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.

## V. GENERAL

In addition to the payments listed in Section III, have you ever received anything else of value either directly or indirectly from Kenneth Wayne McLeod, Federal Employees Benefits Group, or F\&S Asset Management Group, Ine.(for example personal property, shares of stock, interest in business ventures) $\square$ Yes No
If yes, please explain below the circumstances and reasons for the transfers, and identify what was received, when it was received, and where it came from (Attach additional sheet if necessary).
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

| VI. CERTIFICATION OF TRUTHFULNESS |
| :--- |
| 1, the undersigned, hereby certify, under penalty of periury, that all of the information provided in this |
| Proof of Claim, including all attachments, is true and correct and that the undersigned is authorized to |
| make this claim. I further certify that I have a duty to supplement or amend my Proof of Claim to disclose |
| a material change with respect to any answer or information provided herein. |
| Signed, under penally of perjury this 28 |
| Signature: |
| Print Nay of |
| Title, If any: |

YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE RECEIVER'S OFFICE NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 31, 2011 TO BE CONSIDERED A TIMELY FILED CLAIM

MAIL THE COMPLETED AND SIGNED FORM AND ALL OF YOUR DOCUMENTATION TO:

Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver c/o Federal Employees Benefits Group, Inc. Akerman Senterfitt 350 East Las Olas Blyd., Suite 1600 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229

For Questions Concerning Filing of Your Proof of Claim Call the Receiver's Office at (800) 223-2234

For additional information concerning this receivership, please visit the Receiver's website at: www.febginfo.com

Akerman Senterfitt Las Olas Centre Il, Suite 1600 350 East Las Olas Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301-2999

Dir: 954.759.8929
kimberly.matregrario@akerman.com

May 23, 20.12

## VIA U.S. MAIL

Ronald and Nanette VanGundy
P.O. Box 12572

Chandler, AZ 85248

## Re: Securities and Exchange Commission y. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al. United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida Case No.: 10-22078-CV-Moreno/Torres

## Claim No.: 131

Dear Mr. and Mrs. VanGundy:
I am writing to you on behalf of Michael I.. Goldberg, the court appointed Receiver for Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc. and F\&S Asset Management, Inc. (collectively "Receivership Entities") in connection with the above-referenced matter (the "Receivership Case"). This letter is to notify you that the Receiver objects to your claim filed in the Receivership Case in total. Specifically, the Receiver objects to your claim on the basis that he is only recognizing claims directly related to the FEBG Bond Fund Ponzi scheme. Your claim, as filed, appears to be based on other investments you had with Mr. McLeod and which he managed on your behalf as a registered representative of Capital Analysts. Accordingly, unless you notify us within 30 days from the date of this letter that you invested in the FEBG Bond Fund, the Receiver will have no choice but to list your claim as disputed when it comes time to move the court for authorization to confirm claims and make an initial distribution.
You should know that disputed claims will be dealt with through an objection process to be outlined by the court at a later date. Typically this entails an opportunity for you to file with the court a written objection to the Receiver's findings and, if necessary, a hearing to determine whether y8um objections are meritorious.

Case 1:10-cv-22078-FAM Document 94. Entered on FL, SD Docket 10/04/2013 Page 52 of 79

Ronald and Nanette Van dy
March 23, 2012
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If you would like to discuss this matter further, please contact our office at (800) 223-2234.

Sincerely,

## AKERMAN SENTERFITT

Hin $\operatorname{Hatrgrar}$
Kimberly Matregrano, CP, FRP
Florida Registered Paralegal

PROOF OF CLAIM TO BE FILED WITH RECEIVER - DO NOT FILE WITH COURT


DEADLINE FOR FILING OF CLAIM: This Proof of Claim must be received by the Receiver's office no later than October 31, 2011. Failure to timely file a claim shall result in a waiver of any rights to participate in any distribution of funds through this Receivership.

## i. CLAIMANT CONTACT INFORMATION:

Name of Claimants):
 different: $\qquad$
**Please attach Power of Attorney or Letters of Administration
Physical Address Where Notices and Checks Should be Sent:
(D. NOT use P.O. Box unless Military)


Telephone No.: $\qquad$
Email Address: $\qquad$
SS\# or Tax Payer ID: $\square$
Date of Birth: $\qquad$
Check one: How are you affiliated with the Receivership Check one: How Investor $\square$ Business Creditor $\square$ Other

## SEND CLAIM FORM TO:

Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver
coo Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc.
Akerman Senterfitt
350 E. Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1600
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229
For Questions Call: (800) 223-2234

CLAIM STATUS:

$\square$
Check box if you have never received any notices from the Receiver

Check box if your address differs from the address on the envelope sent to you by the Receiver

Check here if this Claim Form:
$\square$
replaces
a pupplements Proviously filed. Claim Form in the probate Proceedings. If so, please provide the date when you filed your initial claim $\qquad$
Check box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a Proof of Claim relating to your claim. (Attach statement explaining same).

THIS SPACE IS FOR RECEIVER'S USE ONLY:

Claim No.:

II. INVESTMENT HISTORY (Complete this section if you are an Investor - Business \& Other Creditors should skip to Section IV below)
What is the total amount of money you invested? Please list the date and dollar amount for each separate principal investment made. The total should only include actual funds you gave to any of the Receivership Entities and should not include any credits, interest, rollovers, dividends, etc. Please provide copies of checks (front and back), wire transfer verifications, account statements, carbon checks, evidencing each investment listed below (Use additional sheets if necessary)


PROOF OF CLAIM
Securities \& Exchange Commission v. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al.
Case No.: 10-22078-CIV-MORENO
III. PAYMENTS RECEIVED (Complete this section if you are an Investor - Business \& Other Creditors should skip to Section IV below)
What is the total amount of money you actually received, either in the form of interest, principal, dividends, withdrawals, referral fees, commissions, or other. These amounts should only include actual funds you received and should not include unpaid or roll-over interest that accrued in your account. Please break down each individual payment you received and provide copies of checks (front and back), wire transfer verifications, account statements, 1099's, correspondence (including email correspondence) evidencing each payment listed below. (Use additional sheets if necessary).


PROOF OF CLAIM
Securities \& Exchange Commission v . Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al.
Case No.: 10-22078-CIV-MORENO
IV. BUSINESS \& OTHER CLAIMS (Complete this section ONLY if you are a Business Creditor or have Other Claims against the Receivership Entities)

1. Basis of Claim: (Check One)
$\square$ Goods SoldServices PerformedMoney LoanedTaxesWages, salaries or compensationOther (Please provide a detailed explanation. Attach additional sheet if necessary):
2. Entity with whom claim was incurred:
3. Dates) serviced performed or when claim was incurred: $\qquad$
4. Is a Legal Action Pending?
 YesNo If so, please indicate the following:

Case Name: $\qquad$ Case No.: $\qquad$

Jurisdiction Pending:
Date Case Commenced: $\qquad$ If Court Judgment, Date Obtained: $\qquad$
5. Total Amount of Claim \$ $\qquad$
Check this box if you contend your claim is subject to a security interest. If so, you must attach copies of all security agreements and other documents to evidence the secured status.
$\square$ Check this box if your claim includes interest, or other charges, such as attorney's fees, lost profits, or late fees in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.

## V. GENERAL

In addition to the payments listed in Section III, have you ever received anything else of value either directly or indirectly from Kenneth Wayne Mcleod, Federal Employees Benefits Group, or F\&S Asset Management Group, Inc. (for example personal property, shares of stock, interest in business ventures) $\qquad$ Yes No
the
If yes, please explain below the circumstances and reasons for the transfers, and identify what was received, when it was received, and where it came from (Attach additional sheet if necessary).
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## VI. CERTIFICATION OF TRUTHFULNESS

I, the undersigned, hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, that all of the information provided in this Proof of Claim, including all attachments, is true and correct and that the undersigned is authorized to make this claim. I further certify that I have a duty to supplement or amend my Proof of Claim to disclose a material change with respect to any answer or information provided herein.

Signed, under penalty of perjury this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2011

Signature:
Print Name:


Title, if any:

To receive an acknowledgement of the filing of your claim, we strongly encourage you to send your Proof of Claim via certified and/or registered mail, return receipt requested, OR include a self-addressed postage prepaid envelope with your Proof of Claim when submitting it to the Receiver. Claim Forms that have been modified or redacted in any way may be rejected.
**IMPORTANT NOTICE**
YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE RECEIVER'S OFFICE NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 31, 2011 TO BE CONSIDERED A TIMELY FILED CLAIM

MAIL THE COMPLETED AND SIGNED FORM AND ALL OF YOUR DOCUMENTATION TO:

Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver
c/o Federal Employees Benefits Group, Inc.
Akerman Senterfitt
350 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1600
$\mathrm{Ft}_{x}$ Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229
For Questions Concerning Filing of Your Proof of Claim Call the Receiver's Office at (800) 223-2234

For additional information concerning this receivership, please visit the Receiver's website at: www.febginfo.com


## Prepared Exclusively For: <br> 3

## Ronald H Van Gundy

Prepared by:<br>Kenneth W McLeod<br>WSSI<br>WSSI<br>P.O. BOX 687<br>FERNANDINA BEACH, Florida 32035-0687<br>(904) 277-0079<br>Fax: 904/277-2626<br>feisinc@aol.com

12/11/00

Distributed by American Skandia Marketing, Inc.
One Corporate Drive, PO Box 883, Shelton, CT 06484-0883
www.americanskandia.com
 iii W, _nington Square Securities, Inc.

New Account Information Form


A ReliaStar Company 20 Washington Avenue South - Minneapolis, MN 55401


(4) Suitability livonmation- regulations require us to obtain ALL information in this section.

(5) Customer please Read and Sign

CERTIFICATION: BY SIGNING, I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY (1) THAT THE TAXPAYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER SHOWH ABOVE IS CORAECT, ANO (2) THAT THE IAS HAS HEVER NOTIGED ME THAT I AM SUBJECT TO BACKUP WITHHOLDING AS A RESULT OF A FAILURE TO REPORT ALL INTEREST OR DIVIDENDS, OR IF SO, THE IRS HAS NOTIFIED ME THAT AAM MO LONGER
 I REPRESENT THAT I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTOOD THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS GOVERNING THIS ACCOUNT AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS AS CURRENTLY IN

 $\qquad$

$\qquad$ $\$$ $\qquad$
Applications attached for the above initial investments.
important notice to registered representative
When opening an account for a customer, the registered representative should obtain sufficient information to determine the sulability of hisfiner recommendations. Recommendations should be suitable in light of the customer's financial status and investment objectives.
The Office of Supervisory Jurisdiction (OS) approves all new accounts. Therefore, this new account must be submitted along with the initial order (all (transactions except NFSC accounts) for all customers. This WSSI New Account Application must accompany all other applications and correspondence.
If a new aceofont form is not submitted along with the application/order, of is incomplete, the application may be returned to the registered representative.

(7) Washington Square Securities indic. Home Office Use Only
$\mid$ Anoroved by

- 酮 Washington Square Securities, Inc. Member of ING Group

Member NASD and SIPC

Product Name: $\qquad$ (print full name of the variable annuity selected)

1. I understand that a variable annuity is an insurance contract with an Insurance Company.
II. I understand that a purchase of a variable annuity is a long-term product that lacks liquidity and will have penalties for early withdrawal. This annuity includes a surrender charge of $7.5 \%$, declining to \% over $\qquad$ 7 years, as outlined in the Prospectus.

My Registered Representative has disclosed the following information to me:

1. If I have purchased a variable annuity in a qualified plan, I understand that I have purchased a tax deferred product in a tax deferred account.
2. I understand that variable annuities are being offered through Washington Square Securities, Inc., a registered broker/dealer.
3. I understand that the principal, yields, and returns on variable annuity sub-accounts may fluctuate and are not guaranteed.
4. I have been given a current Prospectus for each variable annuity that provides complete information including disclosure of fees and expenses associated with this annuity. My Registered Representative has reviewed this with me.
5. The product features of the variable annuity I purchased were outlined for me and I was told to refer to the prospectus for additional detail.
6. I also understand that withdrawals from the annuity prior to age 59-1/2 may be subject to a tax penalty.

I am purchasing a variable annuity for the following reasons (check all that apply):

## $\square$ Guaranteed fees

$\square$ Tax deferral (See \#1 above)
4 Family protection through death benefit
DDjversification (multiple sub
$\square$ Lifetime income payments Professional management .
Other $\qquad$
The funds used to purchase this variable annuity came from the liquidation or exchange of another product (i.e. fixed annuity, variable annuity, variable life, mutual fund and/or certificate of deposit):

## Yes


(If yes, please complete the Client Acknowledgement of Source of Funds Form)
I/we further acknowledge my/our understanding of the aforementioned statements and represent that the contents have been explained to my/our satisfaction.


File: Home Office (original)
-
THE NATIONAL RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIIVE ASSOCIATION

**********

 ********************
 minuas danotsno nait




## SCHEDULE

ANNUTTY NUMBER: (..
ISSUE DATE: January 19, 2001

TYPE OF BUSINESS: IRA
PARTICIPANT: Ronald H. Van Gundy
DATE OF BIRTH:
SEX: Male

PARTICIPANT:
DATE OF BIRTH:
SEX:

ANNUTTANT: Ronald H. Van Gundy
ANNUITANTS DATE OF BIRTH:
ANNUTTANT'S SEX: Male

ANNUTY DATE: April 01, 2034
CONTINGENT ANNUITANT: AS NAMED IN ANY ENROLLMENT FORM OR LATER CHANGED
BENEFICIARY: AS NAMED IN ANY ENROLLMENT FORM OR LATER CHANGED
PURCHASE PAYMENT: $\$ 129626.01$
NET PURCHASE PAYMENT: $\$ 129626.01$
MINIMUM ADDITIONAL PURCHASE PAYMENT: $\$ 100$
MINIMUM WITHDRAWAL AMOUNT: $\$ 100$
MINIMUM ACCOUNT VALUE AFTER WITHDRAWAL: $\$ 1,000$
MINIMUM SURRENDER VALUE AT COMMENCEMENT OF SYSTEMATIC WITHDRAWAL PROGRAM: $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ MINIMUM ACCOUNT VALUE AT COMMENCEMENT OF DOLLAR COST AVERAGING PROGRAM: $\$ 20,000$

MINIMUM ACCOUNT VALUE AT COMMENCEMENT OF REBALANCING PROGRAM: $\$ 20,000$
MINIMUM INITIAL PURCHASE PAYMENT IN RESPECT TO BANK DRAFTING: $\$ 1,000$
TOTAL MINIMUM MONTHLY PURCHASE PAYMENTS IN RESPECT TO BANK DRAFTING: \$750
MINIMUM ANNUITY PAYMENT: $\$ 100$ PER MONTH
CUT OFF DATE: THE DECEDENT'S 90TH BIRTHDAY

04/26/06

## American Skandia

## Attn: Appointments

Fax: 1-800-207-7806
Re: Letter of Instruction
Please take my present account
) and make it into a House Account.
Effective immediately, please remove Kenneth Wayne McLeod and all others associated with his business, Capital Analysts Inc., from my account. They are no longer authorized to service this account in any manner.

Contract Number
Individual Retirement Annuity
Issued 01/19/2001
Thank you,

P.O. Box 11252

Tempe, AZ 85284
'essionalism, Experience, Integrity

June 9, 2006
Ronald Van Gungy
P.O. Box 11252

Tempe, AZ 85284
Re: Personal Account Update
Username:
Client Number: $12255^{\circ}$
Dear Ronald,
In our continued efforts to support your financial objectives effectively, it is our desire to streamline the process in which annual personal data updates are obtained.
We have attached the Federal Employee Benefits Group/Federal Employee Investment Services (FEBG $\otimes /$ FEIS) Confidential Personal Questionnaire for your review. Please assess the accuracy of the data contained in this document, and make revisions as necessary. For households with multiple clients only one letter will be sent. Upon receipt of your input, all corrections will be made in a timely and confidential manner.
You may provide us this information via standard mail by returning the attached sheet with corrections to FEBG@/FEIS, 841 Prudential Drive, Suite 1500, Jacksonville, FL 32207, or via the Internet at www.febg.com (click the "client login" button on the "contact us" tab). The above referenced username and password will be required for you to access our secure site. Please feel confident that our online systems provide you, our clients, with the highest level of security available.
Annual reminders to keep this data accurate will be sent to the email address you provide. If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact our office.

As always, thank you for your business and friendship.
Kind regards,

K. Wayne McLeod

President/CEO
Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc.
Skandia

American Skandla
A Prudential Financial Company
Prudentlal Annulty Services, P.O. Box 13686
Philadelphia, PA 19176
RONALD H. VAN GUNDY
PO BOX 12572 2 248 -0027

## Acknowledgement Letter

```
Date: JANUARY 24 2008
Owner:
Ronald H. Van Gundy
Annuitant: Ronald H. Van Gundy
Contract #: (
Product: AS Advisors Plan || - 19
Market Type: IRA
```

    Page 1 of 1
    

We have surrendered the above contract as a transfer.
For fund level detall of this transaction, please refer to the confirmation that you received separately.

Financial Breakdown Information

Invest-By-Mail Form

FMT CO CUST IRA ROLLOVER
FBO RONALD H VANGUNDY
PO BOX 12572
CHANDLER AZ 85248-0027

## Confirmation Statement

Please keep this portion for your records.

01/28/2008
YOUR FIDELITY BROKERAGE ACCOUNT NUMBER IS: 140589101


If you need additional information on this account, please visit us at Fidelity.com or call a Fidelity Brokerage Representative at 1-800-544-6666.


Paralegal

## VIA U.S. MAIL

Mr. Michael Ian Polsky
5318 E. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street, \#575
Long Beach, CA 90803

## Re: Securities and Exchange Commission v. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al. United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida Case No.: 10-22078-CV-Moreno/Torres

Claim No.: 95

## Dear Polsky:

I am writing to you on behalf of Michael I. Goldberg, the court appointed Receiver for Federal Employee Benefits Group, Inc. and F\&S Asset Management, Inc. (collectively "Receivership Entities") in connection with the above-referenced matter (the "Receivership Case"). This letter is to notify you that the Receiver objects to your claim filed in the Receivership Case in total. Specifically; the Receiver objects to your claim on the basis that he is only recognizing claims directly related to the FEBG Bond Fund Ponzi scheme. Your claim, as filed, appears to be based on losses you incurred through Mr. McLeod relating to your brokerage account that he managed on your behalf while working as a registered representative. Accordingly, unless you notify us within 30 days from the date of this letter that you invested in the FEBG Bond Fund, the Receiver will have no choice but to list your claim as disputed when it comes time to move the court for authorization to confirm claims and make an initial distribution.

You should know that disputed claims will be dealt with through an objection process to be outlined by the Court at a later date. Typically this entails an opportunity for you to file with the Court a written objection to the Receiver's findings and, if necessary, a hearing to determine akether your objections are meritorious.

Case 1:10-cv-22078-FAM Document 94 Entered on FLSD Docket 10/04/2013 Page 70 of 79
Michael Ian Polsky
May 21, 2012
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If you would like to discuss this matter further, please contact our office at (800) 223-2234.
Sincerely,
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
H Mi Matregrans Kimberly Matregrano, CP, FRP Florida Registered Paralegal

## PROOF OF CLAIM TO BE FILED WITH RECEIVER - DO NOT FILE WITH COURT




| III. PAYMENTS RECEIVED (Complete this section if you are an Investor - Business \& Other Creditors should skip to Section IV below). |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| What is the total amount of money you actually received, either in the form of interest, principal, dividends, withdrawals, referral fees, commissions, or other. These amounts should only include actual funds you received and should not include unpaid or roll-over. interest that accrued in your account. Please break down each individual payment you received and provide copies of checks (front and back), wire transfer verifications, account statements, 1099's, correspondence (including email correspondence) evidencing each payment listed below. (Use additional sheets if necessary). |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Transaction (month/dayl year) | Amount Received (\$ amount) | Payment Method (i.e. check, wire, cash) | Type of Payment Received (i.e. dividend, withdrawal, commission, referral fee, finder's fee, etc.) | Are you producing with your Proof of Claim documents relating to this transaction? (Yes or No) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Securiti | $\begin{array}{r} \text { PROOF } \\ \text { hange Commission } \\ \text { Case No.: } 10-2 \end{array}$ | F CLAIM <br> v. Estate of Kenneth Wayne M 2078-CIV-MORENO | et al. $\quad 3$ of 5 |

## IV. BUSINESS \& OTHER CLAIMS (Complete this section ONLY if you are a Business Creditor or

 have Other Claims against the Receivership Entities)1. Basis of Claim: (Check One)
$\square$ Goods Sold
Services Performed
$\square$ Money Loaned
$\square$ Taxes
$\square$ Wages, salaries or compensation
$\square$ Other (Please provide a detailed explanation. Attach additional sheet if necessary):
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
2. Entity with whom claim was incurred: FEBG/MCleOD.
3. Date(s) serviced performed or when claim was incurred: $1 G q G \rightarrow$ PrES enq
4. Is a Legal Action Pending? $\boxtimes$ Yes $\square$ No If so, please indicate the following: Case Name: Mclego D Case No.: $\qquad$
Jurisdiction Pending:
Date Case Commenced: $\qquad$ If Court Judgment, Date Obtained: $\qquad$
5. Total Amount of Claim $\$$ $\qquad$ $0^{0.0}$
Check this box if you contend your claim is subject to a security interest. If so, you must attach copies of all security agreements and other documents to evidence the secured status.

Check this box if your claim includes interest, or other charges, such as attorney's fees, lost profits, or late fees in addition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach itemized statement of all interest or additional charges.

## V. GENERAL

In addition to the payments listed in Section III, have you ever received anything else of value either directly or indirectly from Kenneth Wayne McLeod, Federal Employees Benefits Group, or F\&S Asset Management Group/inc. (for example personal property, shares of stock, interes? in business ventures) $\square$ Yes $\square$ No

If yes, please explain below the circumstances and reasons for the transfers, and identify what was received, when it was received, and where it came from (Attach additional sheet if necessary).
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

```
VI. CERTIFICATION OF TRUTHFULNESS
I, the undersigned, hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, that all of the information provided in this
Proof of Claim, including all attachments, is true and correct and that the undersigned is authorized to
make this claim. I further certify that I have a duty to supplement or amend my Proof of Claim to disclose
a material change with respect to any answer or information provided herein.
Signed, under penalty of perjury this
```

$\qquad$

``` day of
``` \(\qquad\)
``` T _ 2011 Signed, under penalty of perjury this Get
Signature:
Print Name:
Title, if any:
```



```
To receive an acknowledgement of the filing of your claim, we strongly encourage you to send your Prooí of Claim via certified and/or registered mail, return receipt requested, OR include a self-addressed postage prepaid envelope with your Proof of Claim when submitting it to the Receiver. Claim Forms that have been modified or redacted in any way may be rejected.
```

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**
YOUR PROOF OF CLAIM MUST BE RECEIVED BY THE RECEIVER'S OFFICE NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 31, 2011 TO BE CONSIDERED A TIMELY FILED CLAIM

MAIL THE COMPLETED AND SIGNED FORM AND ALL OF YOUR DOCUMENTATION TO:

Michael I. Goldberg, Receiver
c/o Federal Employees Benefits Group, Inc.
Akerman Senterfitt
350 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1600
Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301-2229
For Questions Concerning Filing of Your Proof of Claim Call the Receiver's Office at (800) 223-2234

For additional information concerning this receivership, please visit the Receiver's website at: www.febginfo.com

| EXHIBIT "D" <br> LIST OF UNDISPUTED CLAIMANTS WHO EITHER RECEIVED PRE-RECEIVERSHIP DISTRIBUTIONS FROM THE RECEIVERSHIP ENTITIES AND/OR FUNDS FROM COLLATERAL SOURCES <br> SEC vs. Estate of Kenneth Wayne McLeod, et al. Case No.: 10-22078-cv-Moreno/Brown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Claim } \\ \text { Noo.: } \end{array}$ | Claimant Last Name | Claimant <br> First Name | Amount Claimed By Investor/Creditor as (Invested) |  | Amount Claimed By estor/Creditor (Received) |  | Claim Amount Per Investorl Creditor's Records |  | RECEIVER'S CONFIRMED INVESTED AMOUNT |  | RECEIVER'S CONFIRMED RECEIVED AMOUNT |  | RECEIVER'S CONFIRMED NET CLAIM AMOUNT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3RD PARTY } \\ & \text { SETTLEMENT } \\ & \text { AMOUNTS } \end{aligned}$ | RECEIVER'S ADJUSTED NET CONFIRMED CLAIM AMOUNT |
| 3 | Anglada | Anthony L. | \$ 214,000.00 | \$ | 143,500.00 | \$ | 70,500.00 | $s$ | 214,000:00 | S. | 143,500:00 | \$ | 70,500:00 |  |  |
| 4 | Arbitman | Adam R. | \$ 45,000.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 45,000.00 | S | 45;000:00 | S | 7.005:94 |  | 37,994,06 |  |  |
| 6 | Begley | Joseph W. | \$ 5.050 .00 | \$ |  | S | 5.050.00 | S | 5,050:00 | S |  |  | 5,050\%00 |  |  |
| 7 | Bendig. | Joseph \& Donna | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ | 153,673.20 | \$ | 46,326.80 | \$ | 200;000:00 | 1 | 153,673:20 |  | 46,326.80 |  |  |
| , | Bonifant | Brian \& Maura | \$ 128,000.00 | \$ | -- | \$ | 128,000.00 | \$ | 128:000:00 | S |  | s | -128,000:00 |  |  |
| 10 | Botelho | Lana | \$ 143,773.00 | \$ | 62,063.60 | \$ | 81.709 .40 | \$ | 1.143,773.00 | 8 | 62,063:60 | \$ | . 8 81709:40 |  |  |
| 11 | Branch | Ronald V. | \$ 110,000.00 | S |  | \$ | 110,000.00 | \$ | 110,000:00 | - |  |  | 110:000:00 |  |  |
| 12 | Branum | Rebecca | \$ 35,000.00 | S |  | \$ | 35,000.00 | 5 | 35,000:00 | S | 2 |  | -35,000:00 |  |  |
| 14 | Brown | Daniel \& Martha | \$ 7,000.00 | S | - | \$ | 7,000.00 | \$ | 7,000:00 | s |  | s | 7,000.00 |  |  |
| 15 | Brown | Marcus | \$ 70,000.00 | \$ |  | \$ | 70,000.00 | \$ | -70,000:00 | S |  | S | ,70;000:00 |  |  |
| 16 | Bush | Tom \& Cynthia | \$ 205,000.00 | S | 104,850.62 | \$ | 100,149.38 | 5 | 205,000:00 | S | 104,850.62 | S | . 100:149:38 |  |  |
| 17 | Campbell | Patrick \& Kelly | \$ 246,661.62 | \$ | 112,470.25 | \$ | 134,191.37 | 5 | 246,661.52 |  | 112.470.25 |  | 134.19127 |  |  |
| 18 | Cannon | Laura L. | \$ 277,055.00 | \$ | 130,000.00 | S | 147,055,00 | S | -277,055:00 | s | 130,000:00 | s | 147,055:00 |  |  |
| 19 | Cannon | Rachel J. | \$ 309,000.00 | S | 66,865.00 | \$ | 242,135.00 | S | -309,000:00 | \$ | 66,865:00 | s | 242,135.00 |  |  |
| 23 | Cashman | Michael \& Julie | \$ 1,057,000,00 |  | 62,000.00 | \$ | 995,000.00 | S | 1;057,000:00 | S | 62:000:00 | s | 9995;000:00 |  |  |
| 24 | Catalano | Bettina S. | \$ 75,000.00 | \$ | 6,147.05 | S | 68,852.95 | 5 | 75,000:00 | \$ | 6,147.05 |  | 6. 68,852,95 |  |  |
| 25 | Cindric, II, Personal Representative | Thomas E. | \$ $245,291.82$ | \$ | 40,371.52 | s | 204,920.30 | s | 245,291:82 | S | 40,371,52 | \$ | 204,920:30 |  |  |
| 26 | Cindric | Thomas \& Gena | S 48,000.00 | \$ |  | S | 48,000.00 | 5 | . 46;000:00 | \$ |  | \$ | 46,000:00 |  |  |
| 29 | Coront | Kurt \& Clara | S $458,500.00$ | 5 | 202,500.00 | S | 256,000.00 | S | . $458,500.00$ | S. | 202,500:00 | s | 256,000.00 |  |  |
| 30 | Cosgrove | Clara D. | \$ $85,000.00$ | 5 | 42,500.00 | S | 42,500.00 | 5 | 85,000:00 | 5 S | 42,500:00 | s | 42,500.00 |  |  |
| 32 | Craig | Kyle B. | \$ 25,000.00 | 5 | , | S | 25,000.00 | 8 | 2, 25,000;00 | s |  | s | 25,000:00 |  |  |
| 33 | Craw | Michael J. \& Sharon <br> A. | \$ 290,053.00 | \$ | 188,500.00 | \$ | 101,553.00 | s | 290:053.00 | s | 188,500.00 | \$ | 1015553:00 |  |  |
| 34 | Crawford | Scott \& Montye | \$ 85,000.00 | \$ | 22,507.92 | S | 62,492.08 | s | 85,000.00 | S | 22,507.92 | s | 62,492:08 |  |  |
| 35 | Crespo | Gladys | \$ 112,535.65 | \$ |  | s | 112,535.65 | s | . 112,535.65 | S |  | \$ | -. 1.12,535:65 |  |  |
| 37 | Davis | Weston Shaw | S 133.000.00 | S | 22,500.00 | S | 110,500.00 | 5 | ¢. 133,000:00 | 8. | 2, 22,500:00 | \$ | - 110:500:00 |  |  |
| 38 | Davis | Lori Morgan | \$ $763,550.10$ | \$ | 53,190,00 | s | 710,360.10 | s | 763,550:10 | 5 | 533,190:00 | s | . $7.710,36010$ |  |  |
| 39 | Davidson | James T \& Mary Beth | \$ 34,403.16 | \$ | 18,000.00 | s | 16,403.16 | 5 | 34,403:16 | s | 18,000:00 | \$ | 16,403:16 |  |  |
| 40 | Derr | Steven \& Melissa | \% $15,000.00$ | \$ | - | S | 15,000.00 | S | 1.15,000:00 | S | - | \$ | 15,000:00. |  |  |
| 41 | Dennehy | Colette | S 50.000 .00 | 5 | - | \$ | 50,000.00 | , | - . 50;000:00 | S | - | \$ | - 1. 50,000:00 |  |  |
| 43 | Devine | Barbara \& Robert | \$ 60,000.00 | 5 | - | \$ | 60,000.00 |  | -7, 60:000:00 | S | - | \$ | 60,000:00 |  |  |
| 44 | DiCaprio | James F. | \$ 95,000.00 | 5 | - | \$ | 95.000.00 | S | ¢. 95;000:00 | S | C...- | s | 95,000:00 |  |  |
| 45 | Donahue | Tracy \& Susan | \$ 36.000 .00 | \$ |  | 5 | 36,000.00 | \$ | 36;000:00 | - | C. 1. | s | , 36,000:00 |  |  |
| 47 | Fagan | Gail | \$ 518,000.00 | \$ | 36,000.00 | s | 482,000.00 | S | - 518:000:00 | \$ | 36,000:00 |  | 482;000:00 |  |  |
| 48 | Figueroa | Joesph | \$ 176,000.00 | S | - | \$ | 176,000.00 | s | -176,000:00 | S | 6, | s | -176:000:00 |  |  |
| 49 | Fruge | Jacqueline E. | \$ 30,000.00 | 5 | - | S | 30,000.00 | , | , 30,000:00 |  | - | \$ | 30,000:00 |  |  |
| 51 | Fruge | Wilfred E. | \$ 1,000,000.00 |  |  | \$ | 1,000,000.00 | 5 | 1.000:000:00 |  | - | S | . 1,000;000:00 |  |  |
| 52 | Galanos | milton G. \& Kelly | \$ 32,549.02 | S | - | S | 32,549.02 | 5 | [ 32:549.02 | - | - |  | -7, 32,549:02. |  |  |
| 53 | Garner | Douglas Keith \& Laurie | \$ 170,000.00 | \$ | - | \$ | 170,000.00 | s | \% 170,000.00 | s | $\checkmark$ | s | 170,000:00 |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Claimant Last Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claimant } \\ & \text { First Name } \end{aligned}$ | $\|$Amount <br> Claimed <br> Investor reditor <br> as (Invested) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CONFIRMED } \\ & \text { INVESTED, } \\ & \text { AMOUNTT. } \end{aligned}$ $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECEVER'S } \\ & \text { CNEFRRED } \\ & \text { RCGVIVED } \\ & \text { AMOUNT } \end{aligned}$ | RECEVER'S CNFFRMD NETCLAMM AMOUNT | 3RD PARTY SETLLEMENT AMOUNTS | RECEIVER'S ADJUSTRD NET CONFRMED CLAIM AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | Garner | Harold Eugene | s 599,728.00 | S | 599,728.00 | S. 599,728:00 | S | 599:728:00 |  |  |
| $\frac{56}{57}$ | Giilley | Sandra S. | 98,000.00 | S 17,840.40 | \$ 80,159.60 | s 98,000.00 | 17.840:40 | 80,1459.60 |  |  |
| ${ }_{5}^{58}$ | Goetz | Handra L. | s $150,000.00$ <br> s 100,00000 | 10.363.20 | \$ $150,000.00$ <br> 89 8,63680 | 160,000:00 | 100000:00 |  |  |  |
| 60 | Grant | David \& Lisa | s 40,000.00 | 5 | 40,000.00 | 40,000:00 | [2,363.20 | - ${ }^{\text {87,636.80. }}$ |  |  |
| 61 | Grimes | Ronald R. \& Shigemi | 145,500.00 | 5 - | \$ 145,500.00 | s 145:000:00 | 5 S | 145:0000:00 |  |  |
| 62 | Hamlet | Mark W. \& Theresa | 350,000.00 | S 143,235.00 | S 206,765.00 | \% 350,000:00 | 143:235:00 | 206,765:00 |  |  |
| 63 | Hill | Sandra L. | 100,000.00 | S | 100,000.00 | 100:000:00 | 5 S | 100,00 |  |  |
| 66 | Kelley, III | Johnc. | 95,000.00 | 16,000.00 | 79,000.00 | 95:000:00 | 16:000.00 | 79,000:00 |  |  |
| 67 | Kelley | John C. Jr. \& Kristine M. | 338,000.00 | s | 338,000.00 | 502;000:00 | 163,171:15 | 338,828.85 |  |  |
| 68 | Klumb | Larry S. \& Leanne M. | 100,000.00 | 5 | 100,000.00 | 100:000:00 | 5 | 100:000:00 |  |  |
| ${ }^{69}$ | Kohn, Jr. | Edward J. | 675,000.00 | S | 675,000.00 | 675:000:00 | S | 675,000:00 |  |  |
| 70 | Kruskall | Keith G. \& Gladys | 538,786.40 | 200,104,83 | 338,681.57 | 538,786:40 | 200,104.83 | 338,68157 |  |  |
| 71 | Krutak, Sr. | Eisa M. E J James J. | Is 775.000.00 | 736.843 .00 | 38,157.00 | 775.000:00 | 736:843:00 | 38.157.00 |  |  |
| 73 | Lang | Gary J. \& Karyn V. | S 110,087,75 | 5 - | 110,087.75 | 110,0877.75 |  | 110,087,75 |  |  |
| 75 | Latocki | Larry \& Phyllis | 112,000.00 | 62,976.900 | ${ }^{98.464 .46}$ | 157,44136 | -62,976:90 | - 9.4 .4644 .46 |  |  |
| 76 | Lenseigne | Joey A. | ${ }_{\text {\$ }}$ 20,000.00 | 44,000.00 | 20,000.00 | s 1120,0000000 |  |  |  |  |
| -77. | Loftus-EErthum | Deborah | \$ 1.269,661.44 | s | ¢ $1.269,661.44$ | 1,269,661:44 |  | s 1,269,661.4.4 |  |  |
| 78 <br> 79 <br> 8 | Marchi | Robert M. \& Colleen | s $\quad 282,466.60$ <br> s $283,868.55$ | s   <br> s $169,151.52$  | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { s } & 282,466.60 \\ \text { s } & 114.717 .03\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & s^{s}, ~ 282,466.60 \\ & s^{283,668.55} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 169,151 52 | ${ }^{5}$ s $\quad 2828466.60$ |  |  |
| 81 | Martin | Philip M. \& J Janice | 146,000.00 | 28,800.00 | 117,200.00 | 146,000:00 | s ${ }^{\text {c }}$ 28,800:00 | . 117,200:00 |  |  |
| 82 | Martin | Thomas B. \& Karen S. | \$ 225,000.00 | 18,800.00 | 206,200.00 | 225,000:00 | 18,800:00 | 206,200:00 |  |  |
| 83 | Mcleod | Bill D. \& Frances B. | 64,392.75 | S $35,000.00$ | 29,392.75 | . 64,39275 | -35,000:00 | - 29,392.75 |  |  |
| -84 | Michelotti | Robert \& Marci | 55,000.00 | S 41.500 .00 | 13.500 .00 | - 55:000:00 | S 41,500:00 | -13,500.00 |  |  |
| 86 | Miller | Brian S. \& Kryssa M. | s 250,000.00 | 5 | 250,000.00 | 250,000000 | 40,00:00 | $\stackrel{25,000000}{ }$ |  |  |
| 87 | Niller | Jerry L. | 100,000.00 | s | 100,000.00 | 100,000:00 | 5 | S 100,000.00 |  |  |
| 89 | Morcan | Elaine 6. | ${ }_{\text {s }} 10075000000$ | \$ 15.000.00 | S ${ }^{\text {S }}$ S $1000,000.00$ | ${ }^{\text {S }}$ \% 100750000000 | 1500000 | 300:000.00 |  |  |
| 90 | Morrison | Walter \% Elaine | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ \% 35.815 .30 | S 15.000 .00 | ${ }_{5}$ | $\frac{1,075,010.00}{35,363}$ | 15,000.00 | ${ }^{1,060,000.00} 35$ |  |  |
| 91 | O'Brien | Sean | ${ }^{6,6,63.40}$ | 2,392.00 | 4.281.40 | 6,673.40 | 2332:00 | 4,281:40 |  |  |
| 92 | Olah | Carolyn B. and Nicholas | 261,814.18 | 14,465.00 | 247,349,18 | 261,81418 | 14,565:00 | 247,249:18 |  |  |
| 93 | Para | Michael | ${ }^{\text {s }}$ - $252,144.25$ | \$ 34.678.00 | 217,466.25 | 252,144:25 | 34,678.00 | 217,466:25 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 94 \\ \hline 96 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Peterson | Justin L. | S 100,000.00 | s | 100,000.00 | 100,000:00 | 5 S | 100:000:00 |  |  |
| ${ }_{9} 96$ | Price | Kimberly D. | 25,000.00 | s | 25,000.00 $43,355.00$ | 25,000.00 | ${ }_{5}{ }_{5}$ | 25:000:00 $43,355: 00$ |  |  |
| 98 | Quirk | Brian \& Victoria | 82,000.00 | $5 \quad-$ | 82,000.00 | 82:000:00 |  | 4 82,000:00 |  |  |
| ${ }^{99}$ | Rambo | $\frac{\text { Beverly }}{} \begin{aligned} & \text { Derek \& Jennifer }\end{aligned}$ | s 100,000.00 s 20000000 | 31,000.00 | 69,000.00 | s. 100:000:00 | 31:000:00 | 69:000:00 |  |  |
| 101 | Reck | Richard \& Carat | 200,000.00 | 50.500.00 | $200,000.00$ 109.500 .00 | $\xrightarrow{\text { 200,000:00 }}$ 16000000 | 50:500.00 | - ${ }_{\text {200,000:00 }} 109500000$ |  |  |
| 102 | Richards | Harry G. | 186,614.88 | 5 - | 186.614.88 | 186,614.88 | s | 186,614.88 |  |  |
| 103 | Riley | John J\& Monica S. | 400,000.00 | s | 400.000.00 | $\mathrm{s}^{\text {c }}$ 400:000.00 | $\mathrm{s}^{\text {s }}$ - | 400,000.00 |  |  |
| $\frac{105}{106}$ | Russo. Jr. | Michael R. | $\frac{60,828.58}{} 6$ | 216.629.51 | $\frac{60.828 .58}{387.795 .38}$ | S $\quad 73.408 .58$ | 121588000 | ${ }^{6}$ 60,828.588 |  |  |
| 107 | Schiffer | Vivian | 80,000.00 | 46.566.50 | \$ 33.433 .50 | 5. 80.0000 .00 | S. 46.566 .50 | S |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claim } \\ & \text { No.: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Claimant } \\ & \text { Last Name } \end{aligned}$ | Claimant. First Name | Amount Claimed By Investor/Creditor as (Invested) | Amount Claimed By Investor/Creditor as (Received) | Net Claim Amount Per Investorl Creditor's Records | RECEIVER'S CONFIRMED INVESTED AMOUNT | RECEIVER'S CONFIRMED RECEIVED AMOUNT | RECEIVER'S CONFIRMED NET CLAIM AMOUNT | 3RD PARTY SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS | RECEIVER'S ADJUSTED NET CONFIRMED CLAIM AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | Schreiber | Albert R. \& Jo Anne | \$ $9.95,000.00$ | \$ | \$ 95,000.00 | \$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - 95,000:00 | S |  |  |  |
| 112 | Shruhan | Donald K. and Kimberly | \$ 200,000.00 | \$ | \$ 200,000.00 | S, 200,000:00 | \$ | \$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 200,000000 |  |  |
| 113 | Stines | Patrick J. \& Linda L. | \$ 181,000.00 | \$ 106,500.00 | \$ 74,500.00 | \$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 181,000,00 | \$. | S. . $74,500.00$ |  |  |
| 115 | Smith | William L. \& Cynthia J. | \$ 150,000.00 | \$ - | \$ 150,000.00 | S\% 150,000:00 | $5$ | \$ 17150,00000 |  |  |
| 116 | Snyder | Scott | \$ 90,000.00 | \$ 28.494.54 | \$ 61,505.46 | S... 90,000:00 | \$.,. 28,494.54 | S. |  |  |
| $\frac{117}{118}$ | Snyder | Stephen P. | \$ 50,000.00 | \$ 1,0 | \$ $\quad 50,000.00$ | \$ . . 50,000:00 | \$ | S. . . . 50,000:00 |  |  |
| 1.8 | Sourini | James and Debra Wright | \$ 1,940,979.00 | \$ 1,622,064.24 | \$ 318,914.76 | \$ 1, 1,940,979:00 | \$ 1,622,064.24 | \$ \% 018,91476 |  |  |
| 119 | Slater | James \& Tamara | \$ 65,000.00 | \$ 40,000.00 | \$ 25,000.00 | S. $6.65,00000$ | S. M, 40,000.00 | \$. |  |  |
| $\underline{121}$ | Stanton | Michael | \$ 100,000.00 | \$ | \$ 100,000.00 | \$ \% , 100,000:00 | S , , | S., 100,000,00 |  |  |
| 122 | Tanelia | Jude A. \& Nancy S. | \$ $50,000.00$ <br> $\$ \quad 250,000$  | \$ | \$ $5 \quad 45,000.00$ | S., , 50,000:00 | S., 5,000.00 | S. . 45;000.00 |  |  |
| 123 | Teresi | Candice | \$ 133,956.76 | \$ | \$ $\$ 133,056.76$ | $\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{S}}$. | S S , 16,000,00 | S., , 234,000:00 |  |  |
| 124 | Thompson | Andrew \& Olivia | \$ 80,000.00 | \$ 48,840.80 | \$ 31,159.20 | S, \% 80,000:00 | S, 4, 48,840.80 | S. |  |  |
| 126 | Tierney | Kristine | \$ 95,000,00 | \$ 15,000.00 | \$ 80,000.00 | \$, \% 95,000.00 | S. . 15,000,00 | S, \% , 80,000.00 |  |  |
| $-129$ | Tilley | Kathy Raiford | $\$ \quad 98,000.00$ | \$ 3,500.00 | \$ $\quad 94,500.00$ | \$. . , 98,000:00 | S. $\quad 3,500.00$ | S., . $94,500.00$ |  |  |
| $\frac{129}{130}$ | Trouville | Mark \& Mary Ellen | \$ 75,000.00 | \$ 60,978.62 | \$ 14,021.38 | S., \% 75,000:00 | S 60.978 .62 | S. . 1.14 .021 .38 |  |  |
| 132 | Waddell | Robert | \$ 100,000.00 | \$ | \$ 100,000.00 | S. | S. | \$ $\quad$, 100000.00 |  |  |
| 136 | Woolley | James A \& Nancy 1 | \$8,315,000.00 | S $\quad 312.500 .00$ | \$ 0,002,500.00 | \$, 8, 8,315,000:00 | \$1. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 312,500:00 | S. . 8,002,500.00 |  |  |
| 138 | Zellers | Steven S. \& Ruth A. | S <br> \$ | $\begin{array}{cc}\$ & 30,212.40 \\ \$ & -\end{array}$ | \$ \$ $\quad 178.659 .14$ | \$., 208,871.54 | \$ \% . 30,212.40 | S . 1 |  |  |
|  |  |  | \$31,192,833.00 | \$ 5,732,675.62 | \$ 25,460,157.38 | \$ 31,267,912.90 | \$ 5,826,302.70 | \$ 25,441,610.20 |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All Investor claims were transferred to the Receivership Case, as well as those claims filed by Receivership Entities' creditors. Claims pertaining to debts owed individually by McLeod remained in the Probate Case.
    ${ }^{2}$ Claim No. 234 filed by Wells Fargo Bank was satisfied upon the sale of the Port Industrial Land approved by this Court on 12/25/12 [D.E. 86].
    ${ }^{3}$ This figure includes the three (3) "Unrelated Claims" as referenced in Section 3(C) below.
    \{27192050;2\}

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ This amount includes a claim of $\$ 15$ million filed by Capital Analysis, Inc. ("Capital Analysis"), one of the securities firms which employed McLeod. Moreover, although Claimants reported investments of $\$ 48,471,776.58$, they also reported pre-receivership distributions totaling $\$ 5,865,439.39$. Accordingly, the total net claims before any third party offsets are applied was $\$ 42,606,337.19$.

[^2]:    5 The Investors participated in FINRA arbitrations which are private. Accordingly, the Statement of Claim reviewed by the Receiver could only be obtained by subpoena. The Receiver, therefore, is not attaching a copy of the Statement of Claim as an exhibit, but will make it available to the Court for in camera inspection if requested.
    \{27192050;2\}

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Attached as Composite Exhibit A, for the Court's consideration, are CAl's claim form and the Receiver's objection letter.

[^4]:    ${ }^{8}$ Attached as Composite Exhibit C, for the Court's consideration, are the Unrelated Claimants" claim forms and the Receiver's responsive objection letters.
    \{27192050;2\}

[^5]:    ${ }^{9}$ The Receiver uses a $10 \%$ as the pro rata distribution solely by way of example. The Receiver has not yet determined the percent of distribution.

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ The Court could order Investors to repay all cash distributions, following which the Receiver could make a distribution based on each Investors' actual investment. The practical problems associated with this approach, however, preclude it from being a viable option. Indeed, many of the Investors may not have access to the money in a liquid nature and, therefore, litigation would be expensive and time-consuming.
    "The Receiver notes that the Court could adopt what is known as the "rising tide" approach. Under this approach, the amount of an Investor's received cash distributions would be deducted, dollar for dollar, from the amount of the Investor's total distribution award. For example, if Investor A had a gross investment of $\$ 100,000$, and had received $\$ 15,000$ in cash distributions and the pro rata multiplier were $10 \%$, they would not receive a distribution at all. The limited amount of funds available for distribution makes the rising tide approach impractical.

